



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,547	11/26/2003	Samir Chaudhry	Chaudhry 26-19-9-13-6/075	9745
29391	7590	05/04/2005	EXAMINER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A. 390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			TRINH, MICHAEL MANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.A

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/723,547

Applicant(s)

CHAUDHRY ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Trinh

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2005.  
 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.  
     4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) ☒ Claim(s) 23-44 is/are allowed.  
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 and 45 is/are rejected.  
 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:  
         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2822

## DETAILED ACTION

\*\*\* This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on February 07, 2005.

Claims 46-66 were canceled. Claims 1-45 are currently pending.

\*\*\* The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over.

Hergenrother et al (6,027,975) taken with Choi et al (4,700,461) and Miyazawa (5,312,782).

Hergenrother et al. teach a method for forming a vertical transistor comprising at least the steps of: forming a first device region selected from the group consisting of a source region 205 and a drain region of a semiconductor device in a semiconductor substrate 200 (Fig 3A; col 8, lines 30-45); forming a multilayer stack comprising at least three layers 210/211/215/216/220 of material over the first device region in the semiconductor substrate wherein the second layer 215 is interposed between the first 210/211 and the third layers 216/220 and wherein the first layer 210/211 is proximate the first device region 205 (Fig 3B; col 8, line 46 through col 9); forming a window 225 in the at least three layers of material, wherein the window terminates at the first device region 205 formed in the semiconductor substrate 200; forming semiconductor material 230 of silicon, of a first conductivity type, within the window, thereby forming a semiconductor plug in the at least three layers of material, wherein the semiconductor plug has a first end, and a second end, and wherein the first end is in contact with the first device region 205 (Fig 3E; col 9, line 40 through col 10); forming a second device region selected from the group consisting of a source region and a drain region at the second end of the silicon plug 230, wherein one of the first and second device regions is a source region and the other is a drain region (Figs 3E, 3P; col 10, lines 4-13; col 11, lines 27-49); removing the second layer 215, thereby exposing a portion of the semiconductor plug 230 (Fig 3J; col 10, lines 45-53); and forming a gate 255/265 over a thin gate oxide 250 in contact with the semiconductor plug 230, wherein the gate 255/256 is doped with one conductivity type (col 6, lines 18-29; col 9, line 64 through col 10, line 3). Claims 2-9 of this present application are respectively identical to claims 2,4-8,11-12 of Hergenrother (6,027,975). Claims 19-22 of this present application are respectively identical to claims 15,23-25 of Hergenrother (6,027,975). Re claim 10, wherein the layer of insulating material 211,16

Art Unit: 2822

comprises an etch stop layer 211,216 (col 8, line 63 through col 9, line 40). Re claim 11, wherein the layer of insulating material 211/216/240 comprises an offset spacer (Figs 3I-3K; col 10, lines 30-67). Re claim 12, the process further comprises the step of chemical mechanical polishing the surface of the substrate after forming the semiconductor plug 230, wherein the chemical mechanical polishing planarizes the semiconductor plug with the third layer of the multilayer stack (col 11, lines 50-57). Re claim 13, wherein the top layer 220 of material in the multilayer stack comprises a stop for chemical mechanical polishing (col 11, lines 50-57). Re claim 14, wherein the top layer as the third layer 220 comprises a silicon nitride (col 12, lines 48-52). Re claim 17, wherein the gate as region of one conductivity type is surrounding the semiconductor plug 230 of a first conductivity type (Fig 3N, col 11, lines 23-26; col 6, lines 17-29; col 8, lines 26-30).

Hergenrother et al teach forming a MOSFET with a thin gate oxide 250 formed between the gate 255/256 and the semiconductor plug 230; whereas, claim 1 recites a gate 255/256 in contact with the semiconductor plug 230 (i.e. for forming a JFET), wherein the gate is of a second conductivity type, and wherein, re further claim 18, and the gate is doping a region the plug to form a pn junction.

However, Choi et al teach (at col 1, lines 10-67; Fig 1; cols 4-5) about forming a MOSFET and JFET, wherein the MOSFET is generally different from the JFET in that “a gate is formed above the channel, but is insulated from the semiconductor material by a thin oxide layer (usually SiO<sub>2</sub>)...” (col 1, line 30-55), wherein, as shown in Figure 1, steps V and VI, the JFET comprises a gate 30 of a second conductivity type in contact with a semiconductor plug channel, wherein dopant impurities of the second conductivity type is diffused to dope the semiconductor channel plug 24 from the gate 30 to form a pn-junction 32 (col 4, line 51 through col 5).

Miyazawa also teaches about forming a vertical MOSFET (Fig 10G; cols 11-12) and a vertical JFET (Fig 11; col 12, lines 12-21), wherein “the vertical channel includes no gate insulating film” (col 12, lines 12-21), and wherein the gate electrode 119 has a reverse second conductivity type of the semiconductor plug 111 of a first conductivity type.

Therefore, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method for forming a MOSFET of Hergenrother '975 by forming a JFET including no thin gate oxide between the gate

Art Unit: 2822

and the semiconductor plug, so that the gate is in contact with the semiconductor plug and forms a pn junctions by doping the semiconductor plug from the gate, as taught by Choi and Miyazawa. This is because of the desirability to form another different type of semiconductor device, namely, a junction field effect transistor (JFET), wherein the JFET is more suitable for high temperature operation since there is no gate oxide, and wherein the JFET is also less sensitive to total dose radiation.

2. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hergenrother et al (6,027,975) taken with Choi et al (4,700,461) and Miyazawa (5,312,782), as applied to claims 1-22, and further of Fitch et al (5,414,289).

The combined references including Hergenrother, Choi, and Miyazawa teach a method for forming a junction field effect transistor as applied to claims 1-22 above.

The references including Hergenrother teaches forming a junction field effect transistor; whereas, Claim 45 recites forming a matched junction field effect transistors by duplicate forming at least two first and second windows in the multilayer stack, forming two first and second semiconductor plugs, and two doped regions (gates) for surrounding the two semiconductor plugs.

However, Choi teaches (at col 5, lines 65 through col 6, lines 45; Figs 3 and 1) forming a plurality of junction field effect transistors at the same time by forming a least two doped region gates 30 over the two semiconductor plug layer formed between two first and second windows formed between the LOCOS oxide regions 26. Fitch teaches forming a plurality of field effect transistors by at least forming two first and second windows (Figs 5-6,7; col 6, lines 17-22, lines 35-51; Figs 9,11, line 64 through col 7) in the multilayer stack, forming two first and second semiconductor plugs (Fig 9, 48/50/52/54 and 56/58/60/62), and two doped region gates 18 for surrounding the two semiconductor plugs 48/50/52/54.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a plurality of matched junction field effect transistors of the combined references including Hergenrother, Choi, and Miyazawa by forming at the same time at least two first and second windows in the multilayer stack, two first and second semiconductor plugs, two doped region gates for surrounding the two semiconductor plugs, as taught by Choi

Art Unit: 2822

and Fitch. This is because of the desirability to form a plurality of matched junction field effect transistors at the same time so as to reduce production cost and time.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

3. Claims 23-44 are allowed for the reasons as already of record.

*Response to Arguments*

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 07, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant remarks (at 2/7/05 remark pages 12-13) that "...there can be no basis for combining Hergenrother and Miyazawa to disclose the Applicant's invention..."

In response, this is noted and found unconvincing. As already of record, claims 1-22 are rejected as being unpatentable over Hergenrother taken with Choi and Miyazawa, in which Choi et al teach (at col 1, lines 10-67; Fig 1; cols 4-5) about forming a MOSFET and JFET, wherein the MOSFET is generally different from the JFET in that "a gate is formed above the channel, but is insulated from the semiconductor material by a thin oxide layer (usually SiO<sub>2</sub>)..." (col 1, line 30-55). Miyazawa also teaches about forming a vertical MOSFET (Fig 10G; cols 11-12) and a vertical JFET (Fig 11; col 12, lines 12-21), wherein "the vertical channel includes no gate insulating film" (col 12, lines 12-21).

Therefore, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method for forming a MOSFET of Hergenrother '975 by forming a JFET including no thin gate oxide between the gate and the semiconductor plug, so that the gate is in contact with the semiconductor plug and forms a pn junctions by doping the semiconductor plug from the gate, as taught by Choi and Miyazawa. This is because of the desirability to form another different type of semiconductor device, namely, a junction field effect transistor (JFET), wherein the JFET is more suitable for high temperature operation since there is no gate oxide, and wherein the JFET is also less sensitive to total dose radiation.

The Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some logical reason why skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of references. In re Regel 188 USPQ 136 (CCPA 1975). The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. IN re McLaughlin 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971): In Re Rosselet 146 USPQ 183 (CCPA 196). References are evaluated by what they collectively suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In Re Simon, 174 USPQ 114 (CCPA 1972); In Re Richman 165 USPQ 509, 514 (CCPA 1970).

In response to Applicant's analysis of the references, the rejection is not overcome by pointing out that one reference does not contain a particular limitation when reliance for that teaching is on another reference. In Re Lyons 150 USPQ 741 (CCPA 1966). Moreover, it is well settled that one can not show non-obviousness by attacking the references individually where, as here, the rejection is based on combinations of references. In Re Keller, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In Re Young, 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1968).

In response to Applicant's apparent argument that the features of one reference cannot be bodily incorporated into another because of "...dissimilar processes...that these references are not combinable...", it has been held that the test for obvious is not whether the features of one reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the combination of references makes obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. In Re Van Beckum 169 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1971). As herein, Choi evidently teaches the concept of forming a plurality of junction field effect transistors at the same time (at col 5, lines 65 through col 6, lines 45; Figs 3 and 1). Fitch also teaches how to form a plurality of field effect transistors by at least forming two first and second windows (Figs 5-6,7; col 6, lines 17-22, lines 35-51; Figs 9,11, line 64 through col 7) in the multilayer stack. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a plurality of matched junction field effect transistors of the combined references including Hergenrother, Choi, and Miyazawa by forming at the same time at least two first and second windows in the multilayer stack, two first and second semiconductor plugs, two doped region gates for surrounding the two semiconductor plugs, as taught by Choi and Fitch. This is because of the desirability to form a plurality of matched junction field effect transistors at the same time so as to reduce production cost and time.

Art Unit: 2822

\*\*\*\*\*

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 Am to 4:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Oacs-01



Michael Trinh  
Primary Examiner